IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF		COUNTY, NEBRASKA
Petitioner_	,	Case No
Pennoner_		
VS.		DISMISSAL FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE DILIGENTLY
Responder	, nt	
DATE OF HEARING:		·
APPEARANCES:		
For petitioner:	[] None. []
For respondent:]
SUBJECT OF ORDER:	Warning of	f dismissal for lack of prosecution.
FINDINGS:	The Court f	Finds that: (1) a warning that the pleading(s) described
below would be dismissed for failure	e to prosecute di	ligently was entered and served on the appropriate
party(ies); (2) the party(ies) warned die	d not perform any	of the specified acts stated in the warning or make any
showing that the matter was being pros	ecuted diligently; a	and, (3) the pleading(s) is/are not being prosecuted with
reasonable diligence and should be dis	smissed.	
ORDER:	IT IS THE	REFORE ORDERED that:
1. The operative []	_petition,[]_c	ounter-petition, and/or[]_cross-claim is/are
dismissed without prejudice.		
2. []_Each party sl	nall bear their	own respective costs.
[]_Costs taxed t	o: []_petition	ner []_respondent
[]_Complete red	cord not necess	sary.
Signed ([] in chambers) at, New DEEMED ENTERED upon file stamp date by If checked, the court clerk shall: [X] Mail a copy of this order to all counsel of record Done on, 20 by [] If not already done, immediately transcribe trial of Done on, 20 by [] Note the decision on the trial docket as: [date of the court of the cour	and any pro se parties. and eny pro se parties. clocket entry dictated in op	en court.
to Prosecute Diligently" entered. Done on, 20 by		
		William B. Cassel
		District Judge

Mailed to: